# Strong-Field Ionization of Hydrogen

Igor V. Litvinyuk

Centre for Quantum Dynamics & Australian Attosecond Science Facility Griffith University Brisbane, Queensland, Australia



**ICPEAC Cairns 26 July 2017** 

# Outline

- Motivation
- Experiments with atomic hydrogen
  - Quantitatively accurate ionization measurements
  - Absolute CEP determination
  - Precise intensity calibration
  - Attosecond streaking and tunneling time
  - Experiments with molecular hydrogen
    - Isotope effect in tunneling ionization of  $\rm H_2/\rm D_2$

### **Motivation: Benchmarking and validating theoretical models**

- To achieve quantitative agreement of theory and experiment
- To validate approximate models by comparison with accurate measurements



IOP Publishing

J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 47 (2014) 204003 (18pp)

Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics doi:10.1088/0953-4075/47/20/204003

**Review Article** 

# Benchmarking strong-field ionization with atomic hydrogen

#### D Kielpinski $^{1,2}\text{, R T Sang}^{1,2}$ and I V Litvinyuk $^2$

<sup>1</sup> ARC Centre of Excellence for Coherent X-Ray Science, Centre for Quantum Dynamics, Griffith University, Nathan QLD 4111, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Australian Attosecond Science Facility, Centre for Quantum Dynamics, Griffith University, Nathan QLD 4111, Australia

#### 2014 - 50 years since Keldysh's paper

2018 – 25 years since Corkum's paper

#### • Motivation

 $\bigcirc$ 

- Experiments with atomic hydrogen
  - Quantitatively accurate ionization measurements
  - Absolute CEP determination
  - Precise intensity calibration
  - Attosecond streaking and tunneling time
- Experiments with molecular hydrogen
  - Isotope effect in tunneling ionization of  $H_2/D_2$

## Why H?

It is the only system where accurate *ab initio* modeling with strong fields is currently possible

## Strong-field ionization of atomic hydrogen: results



Pullen et al., Opt. Lett. 36, 3660 (2011)

## Strong-field ionization of atomic hydrogen: CEP effects



### **CEP** measurement: stereo phasemeter



# Single-shot carrier-envelope phase measurement of few-cycle laser pulses

T. Wittmann<sup>1</sup>, B. Horvath<sup>1</sup>, W. Helml<sup>1</sup>, M. G. Schätzel<sup>1</sup>, X. Gu<sup>1</sup>, A. L. Cavalieri<sup>1</sup>, G. G. Paulus<sup>2,3</sup> and R. Kienberger<sup>1,4</sup>\*



Wittmann et al., Nature Physics 5, 357 (2009)



#### **Absolute CEP calibration with atomic H**

#### **Precise intensity calibration**



Measurement accuracy – 1%!

Pullen et al., Phys. Rev. A 87, 053411 (2013)

# Transferable intensity calibration standard H



By fitting phenomenological curves intensities could be determined with accuracies 1.3% using Ar, 1.5% using Kr and 2.5% using Xe.

Wallace et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 177, 053001 (2016)

#### • Motivation

#### • Experiments with atomic hydrogen

- Quantitatively accurate ionization measurements
- Absolute CEP determination
- Precise intensity calibration
- Attosecond streaking and tunneling time
- Experiments with molecular hydrogen
  - Isotope effect in tunneling ionization of  $H_2/D_2$

## Attosecond streaking and tunneling time



What is tunneling time?

Is it real? Is it finite?

Can it be measured?

Keldysh time



$$\tau_{k} = l/|\upsilon|$$
$$v = i\sqrt{|E_{0}|/2}$$
$$\gamma_{k} = 2\pi \tau_{k}/T_{0}$$

Tunneling regime  $\gamma_k \ll 1$ 

 $\mathcal{T}_k << T_0$ 

## **Attoclock experiments**





# Attoclock reveals natural coordinates of the laser-induced tunnelling current flow in atoms

Adrian N. Pfeiffer<sup>1\*</sup>, Claudio Cirelli<sup>1</sup>, Mathias Smolarski<sup>1</sup>, Darko Dimitrovski<sup>2\*</sup>, Mahmoud Abu-samha<sup>2</sup>, Lars Bojer Madsen<sup>2</sup> and Ursula Keller<sup>1</sup>



Pfeiffer et al, Nature Phys. 47, 204003 (2014)

## **Attoclock interpretation**





# Interpreting attoclock measurements of tunnelling times

Lisa Torlina<sup>1†</sup>, Felipe Morales<sup>1†</sup>, Jivesh Kaushal<sup>1</sup>, Igor Ivanov<sup>2</sup>, Anatoli Kheifets<sup>2</sup>, Alejandro Zielinski<sup>3</sup>, Armin Scrinzi<sup>3</sup>, Harm Geert Muller<sup>1</sup>, Suren Sukiasyan<sup>4</sup>, Misha Ivanov<sup>1,4,5</sup> and Olga Smirnova<sup>1\*</sup>



#### **Tunneling is instantaneous!**

Torlina et al, Nature Phys. 11, 503 (2015)

## Attoclock with atomic hydrogen: experimental setup



#### Attoclock with atomic hydrogen



$$\theta_{tunnel} = \theta_{offset} - \theta_{streak} - \theta_{Coulomb}$$
$$\theta_{streak} = 90^{\circ} \qquad \theta_{Coulomb} = ?$$

# Attoclock with atomic hydrogen: experimental and theoretical results



### **Attoclock with atomic hydrogen: Conclusions**

- Excellent agreement between experiment and 3D-TDSE (Coulomb) calculations.
- Angular offsets from theory,  $\theta_{offset} \propto 1/\sqrt{Intensity}$  .
- A simple Rutherford scattering model<sup>5</sup> for the electron in a Coulomb potential (Z/r) explains it qualitatively.

$tan(\alpha)$	_ 1 Z	$1 E_0$	$\omega^2$
$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}$	$-\frac{1}{v_{\infty}^2}\rho$	$A_0^2 I_p$	$\overline{E_0I_p}$

- Simulations with short-range Yukawa potential confirm that the tunnelling is instantaneous (within the numerical uncertainties).
- This puts an upper limit of 1.8 *asec* on possible delays due to tunnelling.



For more details see Satya's poster MO-049 and arXiv:1707.05445 (2017)



"Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler." (Albert Einstein)

## A model should neglect as much as possible, but not more.

#### **Commonly used approximations:**

strong-field approximation (SFA), quasi-static approximation (QSA), single-active-electron approximation (SAE), dipole approximation, Born-Oppenheimer approximation (BOA), **frozen-nuclei approximation (FNA)** 

- Motivation
- Experiments with atomic hydrogen
  - Quantitatively accurate ionization measurements
  - Absolute CEP determination
  - Precise intensity calibration
  - Attosecond streaking and tunneling time
  - Experiments with molecular hydrogen
    - Isotope effect in tunneling ionization of  $\rm H_2/\rm D_2$

#### **Ionization of molecular hydrogen**

RAPID COMMUNICATIONS

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 87, 041401(R) (2013)

#### Effect of nuclear motion on tunneling ionization rates of molecules

Oleg I. Tolstikhin,<sup>1,2</sup> Hans Jakob Wörner,<sup>3</sup> and Toru Morishita<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute," Kurchatov Square 1, Moscow 123182, Russia <sup>2</sup>Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny 141700, Russia <sup>3</sup>Laboratorium für Physikalische Chemie, ETH Zürich, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 10, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland <sup>4</sup>Department of Engineering Science, The University of Electro-Communications, 1-5-1 Chofu-ga-oka, Chofu-shi, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan (Received 29 January 2013; published 9 April 2013)

We show that the observable rate of tunneling ionization of a molecule in an intense low-frequency laser field is affected by nuclear motion and can essentially differ from a bare electronic characteristic calculated for fixed nuclei. Both the absolute value of the rate and the shape of its orientation dependence are affected. The effect is significant for  $I \sim 10^{14}$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and becomes more pronounced at lower intensities. An isotope effect in tunneling ionization of H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> is predicted. The results are compared with available experiments.





FIG. 3. (Color online) The ratio of the ionization rates  $\Gamma_{\nu=0}(\beta)$  of H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> as a function of *F* for  $\beta = 0^{\circ}$  (solid back line) and 90° (dashed red line). Dashed-dotted blue line: Results from Eq. (14). The inset shows  $\Gamma_e(R_0,\beta)$  (solid line) and  $\Gamma_0(\beta)$  for H<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> (dashed lines) multiplied by the indicated factors.

#### **Frozen nuclei approximation fails!**

Tolstikhin, Worner, Morishita, Phys. Rev. A. 87, 041401(R) (2013)

#### **Isotope effect in tunneling ionization**



QWP: Quarter-Wave Plate; AP: Aperature; SM: Spherical Mirror REMI: Reactoion Microspcopes



(1): 
$$H_2 \rightarrow H_2^+$$
  
(2):  $H_2 \rightarrow H_2^+ \xrightarrow{diss} H + H^+$   
(3):  $(H_2 \rightarrow H_2^+ \rightarrow H_2^+ \xrightarrow{CE} H^+ + H^+$ 

 $N_{\rm tot} = N_1 + N_2 + 0.5N_3$ 

Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 083003 (2016)

#### **Experimental results**



Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 083003 (2016)



Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 083003 (2016)

# Acknowledgments

#### Experiments

Griffith University

Han Xu Satya Undurti Atia-tul-Noor

Mick Pullen Xiaoshan Wang William Wallace Champak Khumri Dave Kielpinski

Prof Robert Sang

Theory Shanghai Jiao Tong University Feng He Australian National University Anatoli Kheifets Alex Brey University of Tsukuba Xiaomin Tong Drake University Klaus Bartschat Nicolas Douguet Gwanju Institute of Science and Technology Igor Ivanov

# More research from Griffith group

#### Strong-field atomic ionization and excitation

Nida Haram **TH-016** Intensity-dependent shift in transverse electron momentum distribution for strong field ionization Rohan Glover **MO-026** Metastable argon production via strong-field excitation

#### Laser-ablated plasmas

Smijesh N. **TU-031** Optimization of laser plasma dynamics towards high order harmonic generation applications Kavya Rao **TU-032** Plume dynamics of a laser produced plasma:Single and double pulse schemes

#### Ultrafast molecular dynamics

Han Xu **WE-033** *Observing electron localization in a dissociating molecule in real time* 

Atia-Tul-Noor **FR-039** Enhanced ionization of  $C_2H_2$ 

#### **High harmonics interferometry**

Mumta Mustary **FR-024** Attosecond time delay in harmonic emissions of  $H_2$  and  $D_2$